

## Robust Statistics in IceCube Initial Muon Reconstruction

THE ICECUBE COLLABORATION<sup>1</sup>, B. RECHT<sup>2</sup>, C. RÉ<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>See special section in these proceedings

<sup>2</sup>Dept. of Computer Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA.


mwellons@cs.wisc.edu

**Abstract:** In the IceCube Neutrino Detector, muon tracks are reconstructed from the muon's light emission. The initial track "linefit" reconstruction serves as a starting point for more sophisticated track fitting, using detailed knowledge of the ice and the detector. The new approach described here leads to a substantial improvement of the accuracy in the initial track reconstruction for muons. Our approach is to couple simple physical models with robust statistical techniques. Using the metric of median angular accuracy, a standard metric for track reconstruction, this solution improves the accuracy in the reconstructed direction by 13%.

**Corresponding authors:** M. Wellons<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison

**Keywords:** Icecube, Muons, Track Reconstruction.



**Fig. 1:** The IceCube neutrino detector in the Antarctic ice. A picture of the Eiffel Tower is shown for scale.

### 1 Introduction

The IceCube neutrino detector searches for neutrinos that are generated by the universe's most violent astrophysical events: exploding stars, gamma ray bursts, and cataclysmic phenomena involving black holes and neutron stars [4]. These neutrinos are detected by the charged particles, often a muon, produced in their interaction with the rock or ice near the detector.

The detector, roughly one cubic kilometer in size, is located near the geographic South Pole and is buried at depths 1.5-2.5 km in the Antarctic ice [6]. The detector is illustrated in Figure 1 and a more complete description is given in Section 2.

This manuscript describes an improvement in the recon-

struction algorithm used to generate the initial track position and direction of detected muons in the IceCube detector. We achieve this improvement in accuracy with the addition robust statistical techniques to the reconstruction algorithm.

### 2 Background

The IceCube detector is composed of 5,160 optical detectors, each composed of a photomultiplier tube (PMT) and onboard digitizer [8]. The PMTs are spread over 86 vertical strings arranged in a hexagonal shape, with a total instrumented volume of approximately one cubic kilometer. The PMTs on a given string are separated vertically by 17 m, and the string-to-string separation is roughly 125 m.

When a neutrino enters the telescope, it sometimes interacts with the ice and generates a muon. As the muon travels through the detector, it radiates light [10], which is observed by the PMTs and divided into discrete *hits* [7]. A collection of hits is called an *event*, and when the number of hits in an event is sufficiently large, the muon track reconstruction algorithm is triggered.

#### 2.1 Cosmic Ray Muons

In addition to neutrinos, muons can also be generated by cosmic rays. One of the simpler techniques used to separate neutrino muons from cosmic ray muons is reconstructing the muon track and determining whether the muon was traveling downwards into the Earth or upwards out of the Earth. Since neutrinos can penetrate the Earth but cosmic ray muons cannot, it follows that a muon traveling out of the Earth must have been generated by a neutrino. Thus, by selecting only the muons that are reconstructed as up-going, the neutrino muons can, in principle, be identified.

While separation is possible in principle, the number of observed cosmic ray muons exceeds the number of observed neutrino muons by more than five orders of magnitude [5]. Thus, high-accuracy reconstructions are

critical for preventing erroneously reconstructed cosmic ray muons from dominating the neutrino analysis. While this technique does not recover neutrinos from the top half of the sky, there are alternative techniques that attempt to recover down-going neutrinos [11].

## 2.2 Challenges in Neutrino Detection

There are several challenges for the reconstruction algorithms used in the detector.

**Modeling Difficulties** The underlying physics of the system are nontrivial to model. The muon's light is scattered by the dust impurities and air bubbles in the ice medium. This scattering cannot be analytically well-approximated and the scattering properties of the ice vary with depth [12]. These challenges make it difficult to design a complete model of the muon's light scattering.

**Noise** The outliers inherent in the data present an additional challenge. The PMTs are so sensitive to light that they can record hits from the radioactive decay in the surrounding glass [9].

**Computational Constraints** Reconstruction algorithms need to be efficient enough to process about 3,000 muons per second with the computing resources available at the South Pole. Thus, algorithms with excessive computational demands are disfavored.

## 2.3 Prior IceCube Software

Starting with the positions and times of each hit, the detector reconstructs the muon track. Once the data is collected, it is passed through a series of filters that removes hits isolated in space and time [1].

After removing outliers, the data is processed using a simple reconstruction algorithm, *linefit*, which finds the track that minimizes the sum of the squares of the distances between the track and the hits. More formally, assume there are  $N$  hits; denote the position and time of the  $i$ 'th hit as  $(\vec{x}_i, t_i) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let the muon have a reconstructed velocity of  $\vec{v}$ , and let  $(\vec{x}_0, t_0)$  be a point on the reconstructed track. The linefit reconstruction solves the *least-squares* optimization problem

$$\min_{t_0, \vec{x}_0, \vec{v}} \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i(t_0, \vec{x}_0, \vec{v})^2, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\rho_i(t_0, \vec{x}_0, \vec{v}) = \|\vec{v}(t_i - t_0) + \vec{x}_0 - \vec{x}_i\|_2, \quad (2)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is the 2-norm.

The linefit reconstruction is primarily used to generate an initial track to be used as a *seed* to a more sophisticated reconstruction.

The reconstruction algorithm for the sophisticated reconstruction is *Single-Photo-Electron-Reconstruction* (*SPE*) [5]. SPE takes the result of the least-squares reconstruction and event data, and uses a likelihood maximization algorithm to reconstruct the muon track. The SPE reconstruction typically takes about two orders of magnitude more time to compute than linefit. The complete reconstruction process is outlined in Figure 2.

## 3 Improvements to Muon Track Reconstruction

We now discuss the improvements we have made to the reconstruction algorithm. By augmenting the reconstruction algorithm using robust data analysis techniques, we improve the reconstruction algorithm's accuracy.

### 3.1 Algorithm Improvement

The accuracy of the SPE reconstruction is dependent on the accuracy of the seed. Given a seed that is inaccurate by  $6^\circ$  or more, SPE often cannot recover, and can produce a reconstruction result that is inaccurate by  $6^\circ$  or more. In addition, the likelihood space for the SPE reconstruction can contain multiple local maxima, so improving the accuracy of a seed already near the true solution will improve the accuracy of the SPE reconstruction. Thus, we focused our work on improving the quality of the seed.

As the muon travels through the detector, it generates hits. As indicated in Equation 1, linefit fits a line to these hits, weighting each hit quadratically in its distance from this line. This quadratic weighting makes the reconstruction result sensitive to outliers. There are two reasons why outliers may appear far from the muon track:

1. Some of the photons can scatter in the ice and get delayed by more than a microsecond. When these scattered photons are recorded by a PMT, the muon will be over 300 m away, so these photons are no longer useful indicators of the muon's position.
2. While the noise reduction filters remove most of the outlier noise, the noise hits that survive are unrelated to the muon.

To solve the outlier problem we made two changes: improve the modeling of the scattering and replace the least-squares optimization problem with a robust line-fitting algorithm.

#### 3.1.1 Improving the Scattering Model

The least-squares model does not model the scattering. Thus, hits generated by photons that scattered for a significant length of time are not useful predictors of the muon's position within this model. We found that a filter could identify these scattered hits, and improve accuracy by almost a factor of two by removing them prior to performing the fit.

A hit  $(\vec{x}_i, t_i)$  is considered a scattered hit if there exists a neighboring hit  $(\vec{x}_j, t_j)$  that is within a distance of  $r$  and has a time coordinate,  $t_j$ , that is earlier than  $t_i$  by an amount of time given by  $t$ . If  $(\vec{x}_i, t_i)$  is a scattered hit, it is filtered out.


More formally, let  $H$  be the set of all hits for a particular event. Then, we define the scattered hits as

$$\{(\vec{x}_i, t_i) \mid \exists (\vec{x}_j, t_j) \in H : \|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j\|_2 \leq r \text{ and } t_i - t_j \geq t\}. \quad (3)$$

Optimal values of  $r$  and  $t$  were found to be 156 m and 778 ns, respectively.

#### 3.1.2 Adding Robustness to the Model

As described in Section 2.3, the least-squares model gives all hits quadratic weight, whereas we would like to limit the weight of the outliers. Some models in classical statistics marginalize the weight of outliers. We find that replacing the least-squares model with a Huber reconstruction [3] improves the reconstruction accuracy.



**Fig. 2:** The reconstruction pipeline used to process data in the IceCube detector. Each point indicates a PMT that recorded a photon (PMTs that recorded nothing are omitted). After initial data is collected and passed through some noise filters, the data is processed by a linefit (solid line), which is used as the seed for the SPE (dashed line). The SPE reconstruction is then evaluated as a potential neutrino. Our work on the reconstruction problem makes changes to the linefit reconstruction algorithm (indicated by the dashed box).

For the Huber reconstruction, we replace Equation 1 with the optimization problem:

$$\min_{t_0, \vec{x}_0, \vec{v}} \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(\rho_i(t_0, \vec{x}_0, \vec{v})), \quad (4)$$

where the Huber penalty function  $\phi(\rho)$  is defined as

$$\phi(\rho) \equiv \begin{cases} \rho^2 & \text{if } \rho < \mu \\ \mu(2\rho - \mu) & \text{if } \rho \geq \mu \end{cases}. \quad (5)$$

Here,  $\rho_i(t_0, \vec{x}, \vec{v})$  is defined in Equation 2 and  $\mu$  is a constant calibrated to the data (for this application, the optimal value of  $\mu$  is 153 m).

The Huber penalty function has two regimes. In the near-hit regime ( $\rho < \mu$ ), hits are assumed to be strongly correlated with the muon's track, and the Huber penalty function behaves like least squares, giving point quadratic weight. In the far-hit regime ( $\rho \geq \mu$ ), the Huber penalty function gives points a weaker linear weight, as they are more likely to be noise.

In addition to its attractive robustness properties, the Huber reconstruction's weight assignment also has the added benefit that it inherently labels points as outliers (those with  $\rho \geq \mu$ ). Thus, once the Huber reconstruction is computed, we can go one step further and simply remove the labeled outliers from the dataset. A better reconstruction is then obtained by computing the least-squares reconstruction on the data with the outliers removed.

### 3.1.3 Implementation

Our scattering filter has a worst-case complexity that is quadratic in the number of PMTs that recorded a hit, but this is typically only between 10 and 100 PMTs. Unlike linefit, the Huber regression does not have a closed form solution, and thus must be solved iteratively. We use an alternating direction method of multipliers [2] to implement the Huber regression.

## 3.2 Results

We now present our empirical results, which validate our changes to the linefit. We also present our runtime performance results.

### 3.2.1 Accuracy Improvement

Our goal is to improve the accuracy of the reconstruction in order, and to better separate neutrinos from cosmic

rays. Thus we present three measurements: (1) the accuracy change between linefit and the new algorithm, (2) the accuracy change when SPE is seeded with the new algorithm, and (3) the improvement in separation between neutrinos and cosmic rays.

To measure the accuracy improvement, we use the metric of *median angular resolution*  $\theta_{med}$ , which is the arc distance between the reconstruction and the simulated true track. Our dataset is simulated neutrino data designed to be similar to that observed at the Pole. We find that we can improve the median angular resolution of the simple reconstruction by 57.6%, as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1:** Median angular resolution (degrees) for reconstruction improvements. The first line is the accuracy of the prior least-squares model, and the subsequent lines are the accuracy measurements from cumulatively adding improvements into the simple reconstruction algorithm.

Algorithm	$\theta_{med}$ ( $^\circ$ )
Linefit Reconstruction (Least-Squares)	9.917
With Addition of Scattering Filter	5.205
With Addition of Huber Regression	4.672
With Addition of Outlier Removal	4.211

We also find that seeding the SPE reconstruction with the improved simple reconstruction generates an improvement in the angular resolution of 12.9%, and that these improvements in the reconstruction algorithm result in 10% fewer atmospheric muons erroneously reconstructed as up-going, and 1% more muons correctly reconstructed as up-going.

### 3.2.2 Runtime Performance

We now report the runtime of our implementation, which is written in C++. The individual mean runtime of each component of the new algorithm is presented in Table 2. As shown, our new algorithm is more computationally demanding than linefit, but only by approximately a factor of six.

## 4 Conclusions

Muon track detection is a challenging problem in the IceCube detector. We achieve a 13% improvement in reconstruction accuracy with the addition of a scattering filter,

**Table 2:** The mean runtime for each component of the new simple reconstruction, contrasted with the mean runtime of the original linefit. As shown, the total runtime is approximately six times that of the original linefit.

Algorithm	Runtime ( $\mu s$ )
Linefit Reconstruction (Least-Squares)	24.2
Scattering Filter	56.6
Huber Regression	47.5
Outlier Removal	51.8

223 and a more robust line-fitting algorithm. We achieve these  
 224 results with a reconstruction algorithm that is only 6 times  
 225 slower than the previous algorithm. Our reconstruction soft-  
 226 ware runs at the South Pole in the detector, and is included  
 227 in all IceCube analyses.

## 228 References

- 229 [1] Markus Ackermann. *Searches for signals from*  
 230 *cosmic point-like sources of high energy neutrinos in*  
 231 *5 years of AMANDA-II data*. PhD thesis,  
 232 Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 2006.
- 233 [2] Stephen Boyd, Neal Parikh, Eric Chu, Borja Peleato,  
 234 and Jonathan Eckstein. Distributed optimization and  
 235 statistical learning via the alternating direction  
 236 method of multipliers. *Foundations and Trends in*  
 237 *Machine Learning*, 3(1):1–122, 2011.
- 238 [3] Stephen Boyd and Lieven Vandenberghe. *Convex*  
 239 *Optimization*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- 240 [4] IceCube Collaboration. IceCube webpage.  
 241 <http://icecube.wisc.edu/>.
- 242 [5] IceCube Collaboration. Muon track reconstruction  
 243 and data selection techniques in AMANDA. *Nuclear*  
 244 *Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section*  
 245 *A*, 524:169–194, May 2004.
- 246 [6] IceCube Collaboration. First year performance of the  
 247 IceCube neutrino telescope. *Astroparticle Physics*,  
 248 26(3):155–173, 2006.
- 249 [7] IceCube Collaboration. The icecube data acquisition  
 250 system: Signal capture, digitization, and  
 251 timestamping. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in*  
 252 *Physics Research Section A*, 601(3):294–316, 2009.
- 253 [8] IceCube Collaboration. Calibration and  
 254 characterization of the IceCube photomultiplier tube.  
 255 *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics*  
 256 *Research Section A*, 618:139–152, June 2010.
- 257 [9] IceCube Collaboration. IceCube sensitivity for low-  
 258 energy neutrinos from nearby supernovae. *Astronomy*  
 259 *& Astrophysics*, 535(A109):18, November 2011.
- 260 [10] IceCube Collaboration. An improved method for  
 261 measuring muon energy using the truncated mean of  
 262  $dE/dx$ . *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics*  
 263 *Research Section A*, 703(1):190–198, 2012.
- 264 [11] IceCube Collaboration. Extending icecube low  
 265 energy neutrino searches for dark matter with deep-  
 266 core. In *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, 2013.
- 267 [12] Martin Wolf and Elisa Resconi. Verification of South  
 268 Pole glacial ice simulations in IceCube and its  
 269 relation to conventional and new, accelerated photon  
 270 tracking techniques. Master’s thesis, Max-Planck-  
 271 Institut für Kernphysik Heidelberg, September 2010.