IceCube-Gen2 Notes on construction on ice

The IceCube-Gen2 Collaboration

Albrecht Karle (Univ. Wisconsin-Madison)



The energy frontier in astronomy



10 yrs of IceCube - a first view on the PeV Universe

Earth (absorption

Galactic Plan

nature astronomy

First sky map of cosmic neutrinos

vos from a blazar flan

-180⁰

TXS 0506+056

Pnce

Galactic

Physical Review

LETTERS



Some highlights:

2013: Discovery of cosmic PeV neutrino flux2018: Evidence for Blazars as neutrino sources2019: Observation of first tau neutrino





Scientific objectives: building on 10 yrs of IceCube 4

- Resolving the high-energy sky 1. from TeV to EeV energies
- Understanding cosmic particle 2. acceleration through multimessenger observation
- Revealing the sources and 3. propagation of the highest energy particles in the universe
- Probing fundamental physics with 4. high-energy neutrinos





Completing the multi-wavelength view of the Universe

Scientific objectives: building on 10 yrs of IceCube

- Resolving the high-energy sky from TeV to EeV energies
- Understanding cosmic particle 2. acceleration through multimessenger observation
- Revealing the sources and 3. propagation of the highest energy particles in the universe
- Probing fundamental physics with 4. high-energy neutrinos



Probing source populations and composition of highest energy cosmic rays



Scientific objectives: building on 10 yrs of IceCube

- Resolving the high-energy sky 1. from TeV to EeV energies
- Understanding cosmic particle 2. acceleration through multimessenger observation
- Revealing the sources and 3. propagation of the highest energy particles in the universe
- **Probing fundamental physics** 4. with high-energy neutrinos





Probing neutrino oscillations over cosmic baselines

- 1. Increase the neutrino point source sensitivity at least 5 times over the current IceCube array
- 2. Enable multimessenger astronomy with individual, high-energy neutrinos
- 3. Collect 10 times more neutrinos per year than the current IceCube array in the energy range 100 TeV to 10 PeV
- Expand energy range to beyond 10¹⁸ eV with sensitivity improved by two orders of magnitude
- 5. Enhanced sensitivity to neutrino flavors and the ability for flavor identification







- Increase the neutrino point source sensitivity at least 5 times over the current IceCube array
- 2. Enable multimessenger astronomy with individual, high-energy neutrinos
- 3. Collect 10 times more neutrinos per year than the current IceCube array in the energy range 100 TeV to 10 PeV
- Expand energy range to beyond 10¹⁸ eV with sensitivity improved by two orders of magnitude
- 5. Enhanced sensitivity to neutrino flavors and the ability for flavor identification

Neutrino alert IC170922A pointing to TXS 0506+056

Gen2 sensitivity to NS-NS mergers



- Increase the neutrino point source sensitivity at least 5 times over the current IceCube array
- 2. Enable multimessenger astronomy with individual, high-energy neutrinos
- 3. Collect 10 times more neutrinos per year than the current IceCube array in the energy range 100 TeV to 10 PeV
- 4. Expand energy range to beyond 10¹⁸ eV with sensitivity improved by two orders of magnitude
- 5. Enhanced sensitivity to neutrino flavors and the ability for flavor identification



Galactic longitude I

Sensitivity to galactic sources



- 1. Increase the neutrino point source sensitivity at least 5 times over the current IceCube array
- 2. Enable multimessenger astronomy with individual, high-energy neutrinos
- 3. Collect 10 times more neutrinos per year than the current IceCube array in the energy range 100 TeV to 10 PeV
- 4. Expand energy range to beyond 10¹⁸ eV with sensitivity improved by two orders of magnitude
- 5. Enhanced sensitivity to neutrino flavors and the ability for flavor identification





Uniform sensitivity over large energy range over more than 6 orders of mag energies.

- Increase the neutrino point source sensitivity at least 5 times over the current IceCube array
- 2. Enable multimessenger astronomy with individual, high-energy neutrinos
- 3. Collect 10 times more neutrinos per year than the current IceCube array in the energy range 100 TeV to 10 PeV
- 4. Expand energy range to beyond 10¹⁸ eV with sensitivity improved by two orders of magnitude
- 5. Enhanced sensitivity to neutrino flavors and the ability for flavor identification



0.0 Flavor ratio at source 1.0 1:2:0 6,7 0 0:1:0 $\ddot{\cdot}$ 0.8 0.8 1:0:0 0 .P 0 $f_{\tau o}$ 0.6 f_{μ} $f_{\tau o}$ 0.6 f_{μ} 0.4 0.4 0 .0 0[.]0 0.2 0.2 1.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 8[.]0 0^{.6} 2.0 0^{.6} 0.7 √0.∞∞ 0.0 0.0 f_e Τe fraction at source IceCube-Gen2 1.0 preliminary 0.8 0.6 νμ 10^{4} 10⁵ 10^{6} 10^{7} 10^{8} E_{ν} (GeV)

Measuring energy dependent neutrino flavor ratios (-> BSM physics and nature of source)

IceCube-Gen2

A Vision for the Future of Neutrino Astronomy in Antarctica (arXiv:1412.5106)







The next-generation IceCube: from discovery to astronomy

AUSTRALIA University of Ade<u>laide</u>

Université libre de Bruxelles Universiteit Gent Vrije Universiteit Brussel

E CANADA

Queen's University University of Alberta–Edmonton

DENMARK

University of Copenhagen

GERMANY

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron ECAP, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg Humboldt–Universität zu Berlin Karlsruhe Institute of Technology Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Ruhr-Universität Bochum RWTH Aachen University Technische Universität Dortmund Technische Universität München Universität Mainz Universität Wuppertal Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster

THE ICECUBE-GEN2 COLLABORATION

JAPAN Chiba University

University of Tokyo

NEW ZEALAND
University of Canterbury

REPUBLIC OF KOREA Sungkyunkwan University

Stockholms universitet Uppsala universitet

SWITZERLAND Université de Genève TAIWAN National Taiwan University

UNITED KINGDOM

King's College London University of Oxford University of Manchester Queen Mary University of London

UNITED STATES

California Polytechnical State University Clark Atlanta University Columbia University Drexel University Georgia Institute of Technology Lawrence Berkeley National Lab Loyola University Chicago Marquette University Massachusetts Institute of Technology Mercer University Michigan State University Ohio State University Pennsylvania State University South Dakota School of Mines and Technology Southern University and A&M College Stony Brook University University of Alabama University of Alaska Anchorage University of California, Berkeley University of California, Irvine University of California, Los Angeles University of Chicago

University of Delaware University of Kansas University of Maryland University of Notre Dame du Lac University of Rochester University of Texas at Arlington University of Wisconsin–Madison University of Wisconsin–River Falls Yale University



GEN2 ASTRO2020 SUBMISSION

Neutrino astronomy with the next generation IceCube Neutrino Observatory https://arxiv.org/pdf/1911.02561.pdf

Architecture

 Power and communications architecture: simplified requirements for cable hardware.



IceCube-Gen2 — scope

IceCube and Gen2 on different scales reflecting different energies



10 PeV

10 TeV

1 TeV

few GeV



IceCube-Upgrade (Gen2 Phase 1)

- 7 new strings instrumented with more than 700 advanced sensors
- Improvements to precision measurements of neutrino properties at low energies - O(10 GeV); extensive calibration program is expected to more than double the current IceCube high-energy neutrino sample
- Fully funded \$36M project (~65% NSF/35% partner agencies and institution)
- Deployment completion January 2023.





Optical sensors

IceCube Upgrade (under construction) primary sensors

Gen2 sensor design studies: MDOM with smaller diameter.



12 x 4 inch PMT Smaller diameter 30 cm

lceCube DOM



Diameter 33 cm 10 inch PMT



Directional information 24 x 3 inch PMT Diameter 36 cm

2 x 8 inch PMT Smaller diameter 30 cm 17





IceCube(-Gen2) integration

IceCube will be an integral part of Gen2.

- This is possible for two reasons:
- IceCube is highly reliable: lost only a few sensors in the last 5 years.
- The fully digital architecture of IceCube allows integrating new strings/Gen2 into the system with only moderate adjustments.
- For comparison: AMANDA was turned off due to high burden of maintenance and operation, and challenges of integration.





The Gen2 radio array

200 stations ~500 km^2

- A daunting scale! Impact on Gen2 deployment.
- Highly efficient deployment will be critical.





RNO-G (Greenland) first deployment summer 2020







Hose reel

4

Mobile drill/deployment towers

EHWD heating plant: stationary -> Gen2: mobile



Gen2 hot water drill - changes in requirements

- Mobility: IceCube drill was stationary per season. Gen2 string spacing requires a mobile drill. WDrill will be moved multiple times per season.
- Improved efficiency and lower maintenance technology
- Aim for higher drill speed. (Gen1: 2.1 m/min, Gen2 target close to 3 m/min)





Logistical Support

- Logistical Support: IceCube Gen1 had 9.5 million lb of cargo + fuel delivered by plane, more than 300 LC 130 missions. Construction took place simultaneously with South Pole station completion and SPT construction.
- 2. In recent years logistical support has dropped compared to 10 years ago. This is primarily funding driven. However, funding for logistical support is provided by the project.
- 3. Strategies for logistical support exist and have been discussed with ASC.
 - 1. Population of 60 people: \rightarrow separate field camp.
 - 2.Cargo: Traverse is scalable and can take care of fuel (2/3) and possibly cargo but this is not current practice.
- 4. Successful support will require high level prioritization and strategic planning at NSF's Polar Program.







IceCube, and IceCube-Gen2 - numbers



Item	IceCube actual	IceCube-Gen2 projected					
Deep ice strings	86	120					
Drill and install seasons at Pole	7 (started 2004)	8 (start 2025)					
Sensors/ strings	60	100					
Hole depth (m)	2450	2600					
Drilled hole diameter (cm)	60	55					
Surface detector stations	81	120					
Radio stations	none	200					
Peak season total population	50	60					
Population for radio	0	10					
Typical total deployments	90	100					
Typical yearly support from con- tractor (labor hours)	21000	21000					
Total cargo delivered including fuel (lbs)	9.5M	9.5M					
Fuel only (lbs)	4.1M	4.7M					
Drill (lbs)	1.4M	1M					
Instrumentation (lbs)	4M	2.75M					
Instrumentation, radio (lbs)	0	0.2M					
Operating power (kW)	70	150 (including Gen1)					
'Dedicated' Herc flights per season	60	≤30 (use of traverse)					
Total fuel used (gal)	572k	660k (drilling and camp)					
Typical season length	Early November to late Jan- uary	Early November to early February					
Daily data transfer to north via satellite (GB)	105	150 (including Gen1)					
Primary method of fuel delivery to Pole	Herc LC-130 aircraft fuel tanker	South Pole Traverse (tractors with sleds)					
Population housing method	Station, summer camp	Station, field camp					
Field camp	N/A	Housing for up to 60 people					
Other large projects during con- struction	South Pole Station, South Pole Telescope	AIMS, potentially CMB-S4					
Work schedule at Pole	3 shifts, 24 hours per day, 6 days per week	3 shifts, 24 hours per day, 6 days per week					
Heavy equipment dedicated to project	Loader, skidsteer	Caterpillar Challenger or D8 dozer, 953 loader, skidsteer, transport van					

IceCube-Gen2 Challenges: Radio array deployment





Drilling 120 holes: IceCube drilling required 7 seasons for 86 strings. Gen2 is planning 8 seasons for 120 strings.

Measures:

- Drill speed. R&D underway to increase drill speed for Gen2. This can be achieved by increasing water pressure at the drill head from 1000 to ~1500 psi. Note that this will result in fuel savings of order 20 to 30%.
- Investigate to increase season length by 1 or 2 weeks in February.

IceCube-Gen2 - Challenges: Radio array deployment

Drilling

- 1. Drilling 600 holes for radio while a challenge, is conceptually straightforward.
- 2. Scalable solutions exist. ASIG drill is current reference. Requires to people to operate. can be turned on and off.
- 3. For production, a conceptually similar but more automated design of the British Antarctic Survey is envisioned.

Population: 2 - 3 people/hole/day

Reminder, why drilling: the same detector at 100m depth collects about 3 times more events than at the surface.

Deployment

- 1. Deployment takes most of the labor. about 2/3 of the population will be needed for deployment.
- 2. Long distances require special safety considerations.
- 3. Good equipment for transportation: Field shelters, Arctic trucks.







Timeline

YEAR (calendar year)	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
IceCube Upgrade															
Scintillators/Field Hubs			30%												
Radio development	Radio development in Greenland														
			developm	ent at Pole											
IceCube-Gen2															
Project year						PY1	PY2	PY3	PY4	PY5	PY6	PY7	PY8	PY9	PY10
Conceptual design phase	since 2010	6													
Preliminary design phase															
Final design phase															
Cconstruction															
DOM production						500	1000	1500	2000	2200	2200	2000	600		
On ice construction: Strings								4	8	8	20	21	21	21	17
On ice construction: Radio								5	10	30	36	36	36	36	11
							Major fiel	d activity	erations						



IceCube-Gen2: From Discovery to Astronomy



Gen2 — cables: surface

Field hub: Deliver optical fiber and power to each string location. Switch then to in-ice cable

R&D from scintillator array applies directly to Gen2 string architecture.

Considering taking connections and electronics above ground.

The housing approach is still at very early stage.





Surface detector Gen2

- Following the IceTop model, one unit per string.
- Cross calibration
- Cosmic rays at high energies
- Veto x 40

