
# Analysis Coordination and Publications

Dawn Williams

Maintenance and Operations Mid-term Panel Review



## Interface between M&O and Analysis

Data Storage/Preparation

**Data Warehouse** 

Filtering Scripts Level 1, Level 2

Simulation Mass Production Technical Working Groups

Calibration

Systematics Reconstruction

Realtime

**Reorganized in 2018** 

Physics Analysis Working Groups

Diffuse/Atmospheric

**Neutrino Sources** 

Beyond Standard Model

Oscillation

Supernova

Cosmic Rays

M&O delivers level 2 filtered data in the northern hemisphere, large scale simulated data in the same format as real data, calibration constants

Analysis working groups deliver high-level physics results for presentation and conferences and for publication

#### New WG structure in 2018

#### Main motivations:

- 1. Consolidating topics
- 2. Improving communication between working groups on systematics
- 3. Moving some technical tasks out of overburdened working groups

Analysis WG	Convenor(s)			
Diffuse	Claudio Kopper, Klas Hultqvist			
Neutrino Sources	Naoko Kurahashi Neilson, Ignacio Taboada			
Beyond Standard Model	Carsten Rott, Anna Pollman			
Cosmic Rays	Kath Rawlins, Dennis Soldin			
Oscillation	Juan Pablo Yanez, Tom Stuttard			
Supernova	Lutz Koepke, Segev BenZvi			

Technical WG	Convenor(s)
Realtime	Erik Blaufuss
Calibration	Summer Blot, Keiichi Mase
Systematics and Reconstruction	Joshua Hignight, Jakob Van Santen, Juanan Aguilar
Systematics Coordinator	Nathan Whitehorn

## Working group technical personnel

Role/Area	Tech Lead	Affiliation	Data Curator	Affiliation	Current Datasets
Neutrino Sources WG	Joshua Wood	UW-Madison	Liz Friedman 🔤	UMD	Nu Sources Datasets
Oscillations WG	Philipp Eller	PSU			
Diffuse WG	Lu Lu	Chiba U.			
Cosmic Rays WG	Katherine Rawlins	U. Alaska			
Beyond Standard Model WG	Carlos Argüelles	MIT			

## Physics analysis organization

- Physics working groups have weekly or bi-weekly teleconferences
- Most working groups also use dedicated Slack channels in between calls
- Weekly plenary "Analysis call" teleconference

### IceCube Physics WG Analysis Products

#### High level data sets

- L2 data (see B. Riedel's talk) is dominated by cosmic ray muons, background for most analyses outside of cosmic rays
- Physics working groups produce signal-rich sets suitable for high level physics analysis and public release
- Most high level data sets are used in multiple analyses

#### Software

- Core M&O software handles common filtering and reconstruction and simulation tasks
- Physics working groups develop high level specialized analysis software, common software packages
- Example: Skylab likelihood software for Neutrino Sources analyses

#### Documentation

- All analyses are required to produce documentation which is reviewed by the physics working group and by the collaboration, including archiving data samples and software
- Ultimately, conference presentations and refereed publications

## Typical Analysis review cycle

Analysis Development

Analysis developed in the appropriate working group(s)

Final analysis cuts approved by WG and external reviewer

Post-approval plan developed

Software and data approved by technical leads

Unblinding

Approval of analysis and post-approval plan in analysis call

Check that data and software are archived

Unblinding permission

Discussion of results after unblinding

Conferecnes

Prepare plots for public presentation, approval of plots in the analysis call

Approval of abstract for conferences

Approval of slides/posters

Publication

Approval of paper outline in analysis call

Paper draft reviewed by working group


Paper draft approved by collaboration

Results checked for reproducibility, final software frozen and archived


Journal submission

## Analysis coordination metric: time to unblinding

Analyses That Entered Review and Were Unblinded September 2017-2018



#### Neutrino signatures in IceCube




Red = early
Blue = late
Larger = more charge


Double bang signature only resolved in IceCube above 100 TeV energy


A shower is also seen for all flavor neutral current events

## New samples in progress

#### Tau Double cascades







#### Internal data release protocol

- For unblinding, data sample must be in the data warehouse and software (analysis scripts beyond core software) must be in SVN or GitHub, documentation must be complete
- Technical coordinator/data curator of analysis WG must sign off on this
- For publication, higher threshold: analysis must be able to be reproduced. This is only reproduction of high level results, not reproduction of all data processing

#### Internal data release protocol




#### External data releases

- Text format data release of 3 years of tracks in October
- Looking into next generation of data releases: supporting versions, different types of data sets, database functionality
- A data release task force has been convened to look into this
- Possible solutions being investigated include High Energy Astrophysics Archive for NASA (HEASARC) and Italian Space Energy Space Science Data Center

#### Selected Analyses on the horizon


- Multi-flare blazar search and many more multimessenger and realtime campaigns
- Updated sterile neutrino analysis
- OscNext oscillation analysis
- Global fit of multiple diffuse neutrino data samples



## M77 (NGC 1068)

- Recent result: combined muon sample with updated catalog, showed a warm spot near M77
- Result communicated as preliminary to MOU partners, promptly due to the source leaving FOV of air Cherenkov observatories soon
- Private communication based on time integrated result, not public release or realtime






right ascension

#### **Publications Committee:**

Markus Ackerman, Jenni Adams, Juanan Aguilar, Segev BenZvi, Doug Cowen, Chad Finley, Jason Koskinen, Carsten Rott, Subir Sarkar, David Seckel, Justin Vandenbroucke, Christopher Wiebusch




IceCube led back-to-back papers publish in Science — July 2018 (~50 citations each to date)

#### Papers in Progress

#### Papers in Progress

- FRB search with Level2 tracks and SNDAQ Added 2018-12-05
- Pan-STARRS IceCube Paper Added 2018-11-13
- Cascade Type Distinction Added 2018-10-30
- Non-Poissonian Template Fitting Added 2018-10-11
- SPE Templates Paper Added 2018-09-22
- Density of GeV muons in air showers measured with IceTop Added 2018-09-22
- Search for PeV Gamma Rays with 5 Years of Data from the IceCube Observatory Added 2018-09-22
- 3 Year Energy Spectrum and Composition Added 2018-09-22
- Search for Large Scale Northern Sky Cosmic Ray Anisotropy with 6 Years of IceCube Atmopsheric Neutrinos Added 2018-09-22
- Gamma-ray counterparts to high-energy neutrinos detected by IceCube Added 2018-08-22
- Neutrino Mass Ordering Paper with 3 Years of DeepCore (DRAGON and GRECO analyses) Added 2018-08-03
- Measurement of Atmospheric Tau Neutrino Appearance with IceCube DeepCore added 2017-11-10
- Upper Limits on High Energetic Neutrinos from Core Collapse Supernovae using the IceCube Neutrino Telescope added 2017-11-01
- Veto techniques for selecting neutrinos below 100 TeV from the southern sky with the IceCube detector (LESE/STeVE) Added 2017-08-21

#### **Papers in Progress by Working Group**





- Neutrino Sources
- Calibration
- Oscillation

- Beyond Standard Model
- Cosmic Rays

### Technical and new methods papers

- New SPE Templates
- Application of Nonpoissonian template fitting to point source searches
- Cascade type distinction
- Forthcoming: deep neural network reconstruction of IceCube cascades





#### Recently approved paper outlines

- Neutrino sources
  - 7 year medium energy cascade analysis with DNN reconstruction
  - 2MRS correlation paper
- Future technologies
  - IceACT demonstrator paper
  - JUNO/PINGU combined NMO sensitivity

#### Selected Paper outlines expected soon

- A number of major efforts in the diffuse working group
- HESE 7 year analyses, including first glimpse of high energy tau neutrino
- Neutrino cross section with cascades
- First hint of the Glashow resonance in IceCube data, including technical details of the reconstruction in a supporting paper

#### Paper review process

- Initial drafts are reviewed in the working group, this can take 1 month to > 2 years
- Publications committee is working on defining draft/response cycles and setting deadlines for comments in the working group to keep reviews on track, although some delays are unavoidable, i.e. when bugs are found
- Papers are usually submitted to journals 3-6 weeks after entering collaboration review phase

#### Summary

- IceCube continues to deliver high quality science results
- Majority of analyses coming from Neutrino Sources group, reflecting IceCube's core mission as an astrophysical neutrino detector and prominent member of multimessenger astrophysics community
- High profile analyses and publications continue in all areas of IceCube science
- New data release is out, next generation data release planning in progress
- Time to unblinding is averaging 3 months for most analyses once they enter review
- Papers are submitted 3-6 weeks after entering collaboration review, procedures are being developed to keep the working group review process on track

## Backup